EXHIBIT 15

Page 1 1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Civil Case No. 17-CV-02393 3 4 CIERA WASHINGTON, Plaintiff, 5 -against-WALGREENS; WALGREENS CO.; DUANE READE; 6 DUANE READE INC.; DUANE READE INTERNATIONAL, LLC; and Individually and Jointly; LUIS GUERRERO; GERMAINE ALLEN; 7 VIVIAN GHOBRIAL; and CRYSTAL BECKRUM, 8 Defendants. 9 10 1250 Broadway New York, New York 11 February 7, 2018 10:00 a.m. 12 13 PORTIONS CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 14 15 DEPOSITION of MICHAEL GEYER, a witness 16 appearing on behalf of the Defendants in 17 the above-entitled action, held at the 18 above time and place, taken before Brian 19 Brenner, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary 20 Public of the State of New York, pursuant 21 to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 22 Court Order and stipulations between 23 Counsel. 24 25

		Page !	5
1	м.	GEYER	
2	Q You've	just spoken with the	
3	3 court reporter an	nd he put you under oath.	
4	4 Do you recall tha	at?	
5	5 A Yes.		
6	Q Have yo	ou ever been a part of a	
7	deposition before	e?	
8	A I have.	•	
9	Q In conn	nection	
10	0 MS. MOR	RRISON: Strike that.	
11	Q Who is	your current employer?	
12	2 A Duane R	Reade which is part of the	÷
13	3 Walgreens family	of companies.	
14	Q It's a	subsidiary of Walgreens?	
15	5 A We are	owned and aligned to	
16	6 Walgreens as a pa	arent company, but we are	
17	7 a separate compan	ny because we are a	
18	8 unionized shop, i	if you will, versus	
19	9 Walgreens which i	is not. We are part of	
20	0 the Walgreens fam	mily of companies but we	
21	1 are independent o	of them because of the	
22	2 union affiliation	n.	
23	Q Is it a	accurate to say that Duane)
2 4	4 Reade is a subsid	diary of Walgreens?	
25	5 A Yes.		

	Page 9
1	M. GEYER
2	Q Do you know who might have
3	reviewed any records related to that?
4	MS. WELCH: Objection.
5	You can answer.
6	A I don't know. I don't know.
7	Q Are you aware that Plaintiff was
8	employed with Walgreens and Duane Reade in
9	2015?
10	A I believe that to be accurate,
11	yes.
12	Q And in the early part of 2016 as
13	well, are you aware of that?
1 4	A I believe that to be accurate.
15	Q And why do you believe that to
16	be accurate?
17	A Just based on the information
18	that I pulled, that I recall viewing, you
19	know, the date of hire information, like
2 0	that.
21	Q So in terms of any sort of
22	discipline that Plaintiff may have
23	received including termination,
2 4	suspension, what have you, are you
2 5	familiar with any of that?

```
Page 116
1
                   M. GEYER
2
    that Gregory Spears was fired on November
3
    2015, according to this voluntary
    statement, right?
4
5
        Α
               Seemingly so, yes.
6
        0
               But do you see the date of the
7
    voluntary statement from Mr. Guerrero?
8
        Α
               Yes, I do.
9
        0
               What date is it?
10
        Α
               2/22/16.
11
               This voluntary statement was
        0
12
    done in February 2016 after Gregory Spears
13
    was allegedly fired in November of 2015,
14
    right?
15
        Α
               Correct.
16
               So the voluntary statement from
17
    Mr. Guerrero was actually done November,
18
    December, January -- three months after
19
    Gregory Spears was fired and you said
20
    that's not typically done, right?
21
               Not typical.
        Α
22
        Q
               Turn to the next page Bates
23
    stamped DR173. Do you see there's another
24
    voluntary statement there?
25
        Α
               I do.
```

	Page 117
1	M. GEYER
2	Q Have you ever seen this
3	particular one with Ms. Washington's
4	handwriting on it? So you know, her
5	voluntary statement consists of three
6	pages, DR173, 174, and 175 as it is in
7	this statement, as it is in the documents
8	produced by Defendants. Do you see that?
9	A I do.
10	Q Did you say that you had never
11	seen this before?
12	A I have not seen this before.
13	Q Did you know that Ms. Washington
14	did a voluntary statement?
15	A I did not.
16	Q Again we have an incident that
17	we are talking about that occurred in
18	October of 2015, right?
19	A Um-hmm, yes.
20	Q But Ms. Washington's voluntary
21	statement was in February 2016. That
22	again is not really conforming with
23	company policy, right?
2 4	MS. WELCH: Objection.
25	A It's not typical so I'm not sure

Page 118 1 M. GEYER 2 what the circumstances were or are that 3 something was written later, you know, months later. 4 5 Because that's unusual, correct? 0 MS. WELCH: 6 Objection. 7 Α It's not typical. 8 Q If someone --9 MS. MORRISON: Strike that. 10 Again you said you've never --Q 11 you don't know anything specific about 12 Plaintiff with respect to this at all, 13 right? 14 I do not. Α 15 How about the following pages of Q 16 this document, 177 through 188? Do you 17 have any knowledge of the significance of 18 these documents produced by Defendant with 19 respect to Plaintiff? 20 Specifically to her, no, I do Α 21 I mean, I know what they are. 22 Q What are they? 23 So these are electronic captures 24 of specific transactions. That's on 177. 25 Then after that, 178 through 188, they

```
Page 120
1
                   M. GEYER
2
    transactions?
3
        Α
               Correct.
4
               In 177 at the top it says
         Q
5
    receipt details, start date 10/13/15, end
    date 10/13/15. Do you see that?
6
7
        Α
               177, you said?
8
        Q
               Receipt details.
9
        Α
               Yes.
10
               Does that mean that this receipt
        Q
11
    is from 10/13/15?
12
        Α
               It appears that way.
13
         Q
               If someone is suspended -- we
14
    are talking about with reference to
15
    Plaintiff only -- for allegedly being
16
    involved in --
17
               MS. MORRISON:
                                Strike that.
18
        Q
               If someone's suspended, that
19
    would be investigated, the reasons before
20
    they are suspended, as policy?
21
               MS. WELCH:
                             Objection.
22
        Α
               As policy, yes.
23
               And they would generally be
         0
24
    voluntary statements before the suspension
25
    related to --
```

	Page 121
1	M. GEYER
2	A Typically, yes.
3	Q And in your experience most of
4	the time you will have voluntary
5	statements occurring before a suspension
6	occurs if they are related to the reasons
7	for the suspension?
8	A Typically, yes.
9	Q You've already testified to
10	typically about voluntary statements.
11	That's before a person's fired?
12	A Typically, yes.
13	Q As a policy and practice of the
14	company?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Turn to the same exhibit,
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit 6, Bates DR169. Do
18	you see that that's an e-mail, e-mail
19	communication?
20	A I do.
21	Q At the top there doesn't appear
22	to be a date to this e-mail, but it's from
23	Vivian Ghobrial, correct?
2 4	A Correct.
25	Q But there's no date on this

Page 122 1 M. GEYER 2 particular document e-mail, but below 3 there, there's a date of February 19, 4 2016. Do you see that? 5 Α I do. 6 0 And that's a communication with 7 the subject Ciera Washington, 8 communications between Troy Hennessy and 9 Vivian Ghobrial, correct? 10 Α Correct. 11 Now, going back up to the top, 0 12 Vivian Ghobrial's e-mail doesn't have a 13 date on it, but do you see that it says HR 14 has reviewed Ciera Washington's paperwork 15 and video regarding her suspension and 16 supports the decision to terminate? 17 you see that? 18 Α I do. 19 It says: "Please contact Q 20 Ms. Washington and inform her that her 21 employment is terminated due to an 22 investigation that shows her accepting 23 items that were price modified for her by 24 another associate and for failure to get a 25 bag check prior to leaving the store."

	Page 123
1	M. GEYER
2	Do you see that?
3	A I do.
4	Q And above it says violation of
5	rules. Do you see that?
6	A I do.
7	Q Now, we already said there were
8	no rules against an employee accepting
9	items that were price modified by another
10	associate, right?
11	A We did.
12	Q So that wasn't a violation of
13	rules, correct?
L 4	MS. WELCH: Objection.
15	A Apparently you know,
16	seemingly no, but again, I don't know the
17	particulars of what really happened here.
18	Q But according to this e-mail it
19	says terminated due to investigation that
2 0	shows her accepting items that were price
21	modified for her by another associate.
2 2	A Right.
23	Q So to your knowledge of the
2 4	rules, policies, practices in effect
2 5	during Plaintiff's employment, accepting

Page 124 1 M. GEYER 2 items that were price modified by another 3 associate is not a violation of any rule, correct? 4 5 MS. WELCH: Objection. 6 0 You've already testified to 7 this. 8 Α Yeah, you're right, but again I don't know what specifically happened 9 10 here. 11 It also says: "And for failure 0 12 to get a bag check prior to leaving the 13 store." 14 Do you see that? 15 I do. Α 16 Do you know of any written or 17 verbal or otherwise policy in effect 18 during Plaintiff's employment saying an 19 employee will be filed for not getting a 20 bag check prior to leaving the store? 21 No, but it is To be fired? 22 required that you get a bag check when you 23 leave the store. 24 Q Is that policy written anywhere in the employee handbook? 25

	Page 125
1	M. GEYER
2	A I believe it is in here
3	somewhere.
4	Q Can you find it?
5	A At the bottom of page 81
6	Q Bates stamp 81?
7	A Yes. All employees are subject
8	to bag checks and locker checks at random
9	intervals.
10	Q It doesn't say prior to leaving
11	the store, right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q So there is no policy there's
14	a policy with respect to you being subject
15	to it randomly, but there was no policy in
16	effect during Plaintiff's employment that
17	you have to get a bag check prior to
18	leaving the store, period, right?
19	A At the time, correct.
2 0	Q And there's certainly no policy
21	at any time during Plaintiff's employment
22	that there was a violation of
23	MS. MORRISON: Strike that.
2 4	Q There was certainly no violation
25	during Plaintiff's employment, written,

Page 198 1 M. GEYER 2 Q Got it, but you don't recall 3 what information you reviewed specifically? 4 5 No. I could tell you that what I did review did not -- did not reveal 6 7 anything like that, at least what I've 8 Maybe someone else has something seen. different. I don't recall. I don't know 9 10 that, so I can't say that. 11 Got it, so when you're saying 12 that, you may have seen information; is 13 that correct, in sum and substance? 14 Α Yeah, or read something or that 15 line something, you know, somewhere, but, 16 you know, I don't have specific 17 information. 18 Got it. I just want to 0 19 understand. So you're saying you may have 20 reviewed information regardless of whether 21 it's written or what have you that 22 indicated that Plaintiff may have made 23 complaints that she was being retaliated 24 dense during her employment? 25 Α Yes.